

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

B5

DATE: DEC 16 2011 OFFICE: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition, which is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a software development and consulting business. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a senior software engineer pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2). In pertinent part, section 203(b)(2) of the Act provides immigrant classification to aliens of exceptional ability and members of the professions holding advanced degrees or their equivalent and whose services are sought by an employer in the United States. As required by statute, an ETA Form 9089 Application for Alien Employment Certification, which the Department of Labor (DOL) approved, accompanied the petition. The director determined that the job offered did not require a member of the professions holding an advanced degree and that the beneficiary did not attend an accredited bachelor's degree program in Pakistan.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the beneficiary possessed the requisite education for the position and that the beneficiary completed his three-year Bachelor of Science degree in computing and information systems at the [REDACTED] in 1999, headquartered in the [REDACTED], but located in Pakistan. Thus, counsel asserts that the AAO should consider that the beneficiary received a degree from the [REDACTED] instead of from Pakistan.

Counsel fails to address the director's other basis of denial. Thus, the petitioner has abandoned that claim. *See Sepulveda v. U.S. Atty. Gen.*, 401 F.3d 1226, 1228 n.2 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005); *Hristov v. Roark*, No. 09-CV-2731 2011 WL 4711885 at \*9 (NY E.D. Sept. 30, 2011). Section 203(b) of the Act states in pertinent part that:

(2) Aliens who are members of the professions holding advanced degrees or aliens of exceptional ability. --

(A) In general. -- Visas shall be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are members of the professions holding advanced degrees or their equivalent or who because of their exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business, will substantially benefit prospectively the national economy, cultural or educational interests, or welfare of the United States, and whose services in the sciences, arts, professions, or business are sought by an employer in the United States.

### **Job Requirements**

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(4) provides the following:

(i) *General.* Every petition under this classification must be accompanied by an individual labor certification from the Department of Labor, by an application for Schedule A designation (if applicable), or by documentation to establish that the alien qualifies for one of the shortage occupations in the Department of Labor's Labor Market

Information Pilot Program. To apply for Schedule A designation or to establish that the alien's occupation is within the Labor Market Information Program, a fully executed uncertified Form ETA-750 in duplicate must accompany the petition. **The job offer portion of the individual labor certification, Schedule A application, or Pilot Program application must demonstrate that the job requires a professional holding an advanced degree or the equivalent or an alien of exceptional ability.**

(Bold emphasis added.)

The key to determining the job qualifications is found on ETA Form 9089 Part H. This section of the application for alien labor certification, "Job Opportunity Information," describes the terms and conditions of the job offered. It is important that the ETA Form 9089 be read as a whole.

In this matter, Part H, line 4, of the labor certification reflects that a bachelor's degree in computer systems, engineering (any), science, management and information systems, or mathematics is the minimum level of education required. Line 10 reflects that five years of experience in the alternate occupations of programmer analyst, system support administrator, or system administrator are required. Line 8 reflects that a reasonable combination of education and experience is acceptable in the alternative. Line 9 reflects that a foreign educational equivalent is acceptable.

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may not ignore a term of the labor certification, nor may it impose additional requirements. *See Matter of Silver Dragon Chinese Restaurant*, 19 I&N Dec. 401, 406 (Comm'r 1986). *See also, Madany v. Smith*, 696 F.2d 1008 (D.C. Cir. 1983); *K.R.K. Irvine, Inc.*, 699 F.2d at 1006; *Stewart Infra-Red Commissary of Massachusetts, Inc. v. Coomey*, 661 F.2d 1 (1st Cir. 1981). USCIS must examine "the language of the labor certification job requirements" in order to determine what the job requires. *See generally Madany*, 696 F.2d at 1015. The only rational manner by which USCIS can be expected to interpret the meaning of terms used to describe the requirements of a job in a labor certification is to "examine the certified job offer *exactly* as it is completed by the prospective employer." *Rosedale Linden Park Company v. Smith*, 595 F. Supp. 829, 833 (D.D.C. 1984)(emphasis added). USCIS's interpretation of the job's requirements, as stated on the labor certification must involve "reading and applying *the plain language* of the [labor certification application form]." *Id.* at 834 (emphasis added). USCIS cannot and should not reasonably be expected to look beyond the plain language of the labor certification that DOL has formally issued or otherwise attempt to divine the employer's intentions through some sort of reverse engineering of the labor certification.

On appeal, counsel does not address the issue of whether the job requires an advanced degree professional. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(2) defines an advanced degree as follows:

[A]ny United States academic or professional degree or a foreign equivalent degree above that of baccalaureate. A United States baccalaureate degree followed by at least five years of progressive experience in the specialty shall be considered the equivalent of a master's degree. If a doctoral degree is customarily required by the

specialty, the alien must have a United States doctorate degree or a foreign equivalent degree.

Congress intended that this classification be restricted to positions requiring at least a bachelor's degree. See H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 955, 101<sup>st</sup> Cong., 2<sup>nd</sup> Sess. 1990, 1990 U.S.C.C.A.N. 6784, 1990 WL 201613 at \*6786 (Oct. 26, 1990); 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60900 (Nov. 29, 1991). Compare 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5) (allowing for an equivalence to a bachelor's degree for a nonimmigrant classification.) The petitioner indicated that only a reasonable combination of education and experience rather than a degree was required. Thus, the position does not require a member of the professions holding an advanced degree.

### **Eligibility for the Classification Sought**

As noted above, DOL certified the ETA Form 9089 in this matter. DOL determines whether there are sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified and available and whether the employment of the alien will adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed. Section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act; 20 C.F.R. § 656.1(a).

It is significant that none of the above inquiries Congress assigned to DOL, or the remaining regulations implementing these duties under 20 C.F.R. § 656, involve a determination as to whether or not the alien is qualified for a specific immigrant classification or even the job offered. Rather, USCIS determines whether the alien is qualified under the alien employment certification requirements. *Matter of Wing's Tea House*, 16 I&N Dec. 160 (Acting Reg'l Comm'r 1977). Federal courts have recognized this division of authority. See *Tongatapu Woodcraft Hawaii, Ltd. v. Feldman*, 736 F. 2d 1305, 1309 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984); *Madany v. Smith*, 696 F.2d at 1012-1013.

A U.S. baccalaureate degree generally requires four years of education. *Matter of Shah*, 17 I&N Dec. 244 (Reg'l. Comm'r. 1977). This decision involved a petition filed under 8 U.S.C. §1153(a)(3) as amended in 1976. At that time, this section provided:

Visas shall next be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are members of the professions . . . .

The Act added section 203(b)(2)(A) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. §1153(b)(2)(A), which provides:

Visas shall be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are members of the professions holding advanced degrees or their equivalent . . . .

Significantly, the statutory language used prior to *Matter of Shah*, 17 I&N Dec. at 244 is identical to the statutory language used subsequent to that decision but for the requirement that the immigrant hold an advanced degree or its equivalent. The Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference, published as part of the House of Representatives Conference Report on the Act, provides that "[in] considering equivalency in category 2 advanced degrees, it is anticipated that the alien must have a bachelor's degree with at least five years progressive experience in the

professions.” H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 955, 101<sup>st</sup> Cong., 2<sup>nd</sup> Sess. 1990, 1990 U.S.C.C.A.N. 6784, 1990 WL 201613 at \*6786 (Oct. 26, 1990).

At the time of enactment of section 203(b)(2) of the Act in 1990, it had been almost thirteen years since *Matter of Shah* was issued. Congress is presumed to have intended a four-year degree when it stated that an alien “must have a bachelor’s degree” when considering equivalency for second preference immigrant visas. The AAO must assume that Congress was aware of the agency’s previous treatment of a “bachelor’s degree” under the Act when the new classification was enacted and did not intend to alter the agency’s interpretation of that term. See *Lorillard v. Pons*, 434 U.S. 575, 580-81 (1978) (Congress is presumed to be aware of administrative and judicial interpretations where it adopts a new law incorporating sections of a prior law). In fact, the Senate Conference Report for the Act presumes that a baccalaureate is a “4-year course of undergraduate study.” S. Rep. No. 101-55 at 20 (1989). See also 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60900 (Nov. 29, 1991) (an alien must have at least a bachelor’s degree).

In 1991, when the final rule for 8 C.F.R. § 204.5 appeared in the Federal Register, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service) (now USCIS), responded to criticism that the regulation required an alien to have a bachelor’s degree as a minimum and that the regulation did not allow for the substitution of experience for education. After reviewing section 121 of the Immigration Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-649 (1990), and the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference, the Service specifically noted that both the Act and the legislative history indicate that an alien must have at least a bachelor’s degree:

The Act states that, in order to qualify under the second classification, alien members of the professions must hold “advanced degrees or their equivalent.” As the legislative history . . . indicates, the equivalent of an advanced degree is “a bachelor’s degree with at least five years progressive experience in the professions.” Because neither the Act nor its legislative history indicates that bachelor’s or advanced degrees must be United States degrees, the Service will recognize foreign equivalent degrees. But both the Act and its legislative history make clear that, in order to qualify as a professional under the third classification or to have experience equating to an advanced degree under the second, *an alien must have at least a bachelor’s degree.*

56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60900 (Nov. 29, 1991) (emphasis added).

There is no provision in the statute or the regulations that would allow a beneficiary to qualify under section 203(b)(2) of the Act as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree with anything less than a full baccalaureate degree (plus the requisite five years of progressive experience in the specialty). More specifically, USCIS will not consider a three-year bachelor’s degree as a “foreign equivalent degree” to a U.S. baccalaureate degree. *Matter of Shah*, 17 I&N Dec. at 245. Where the analysis of the beneficiary’s credentials relies on work experience alone or a combination of multiple lesser degrees, the result is the “equivalent” of a bachelor’s degree rather than a “foreign

equivalent degree.”<sup>1</sup> In order to have experience and education equating to an advanced degree under section 203(b)(2) of the Act, the beneficiary must have a single degree that is the “foreign equivalent degree” to a U.S. baccalaureate degree (plus the requisite five years of progressive experience in the specialty). 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(2).

The petitioner submitted evaluations regarding the beneficiary’s education from [REDACTED] dated June 11, 2008 and from [REDACTED] dated October 4, 2006. [REDACTED] concludes that the beneficiary possesses the equivalent to a Bachelor of Science degree in computer information systems in the United States. [REDACTED] urges USCIS to consider the beneficiary’s education as having been completed in the United Kingdom due to the university’s headquarters being located there despite the fact that the beneficiary actually completed his education in Pakistan. [REDACTED] highlights that the British system consists of 13 years of primary and secondary education. The AAO finds that [REDACTED] and the petitioner have failed to provide evidence that Pakistan provides 13 years of primary and secondary education for its students.

[REDACTED] states that the beneficiary completed a one-year post-secondary program and then his three-year bachelor’s degree and concludes that these two programs constitute a single-source degree. The AAO finds that these are two separate academic programs and not a single degree. [REDACTED] additionally claims that the beneficiary finished an accelerated bachelor’s degree program because of the duration of his secondary and post-secondary studies. Again, the AAO finds that [REDACTED] and the petitioner have failed to provide evidence that the Bachelor of Science program at [REDACTED] is normally four years in duration or that the beneficiary received transfer credit for his prior post-secondary studies.

[REDACTED] similarly states that the beneficiary’s combined education is the equivalent to a Bachelor of Science degree in computer information systems. He recognizes that admission into the beneficiary’s Bachelor of Science program at [REDACTED] only required completion of secondary school. Unlike [REDACTED], [REDACTED] does not claim that the beneficiary’s completion of his post-secondary diploma allowed him to accelerate the program.

[REDACTED] claims that the beneficiary would be eligible for entry into various master’s programs within the United States and the United Kingdom. The assertions of counsel do not constitute evidence. *Matter of Obaigbena*, 19 I&N Dec. 533, 534 (BIA 1988); *Matter of Ramirez-Sanchez*, 17 I&N Dec. 503, 506 (BIA 1980). Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm’r 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg’l Comm’r 1972)). [REDACTED] additionally asserts that many U.S. colleges and universities allow transfer students to complete less than four years of academic studies in order to earn

---

<sup>1</sup> Compare 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5) (defining for purposes of a nonimmigrant visa classification, the “equivalence to completion of a college degree” as including, in certain cases, a specific combination of education and experience). The regulations pertaining to the immigrant classification sought in this matter do not contain similar language.

bachelor's degrees. The AAO notes that the beneficiary is not a transfer student in the United States so this argument is not persuasive. Furthermore, the AAO notes that the beneficiary's transcript for his Bachelor of Science program at [REDACTED] reflects that he took only computer classes for this degree and not broader classes typically required for the completion of a bachelor's degree.

USCIS may, in its discretion, use as advisory opinions statements submitted as expert testimony. However, where an opinion is not in accord with other information or is in any way questionable, the Service is not required to accept or may give less weight to that evidence. *Matter of Caron International*, 19 I&N Dec. 791 (Comm'r 1988); *Matter of Sea, Inc.*, 19 I&N Dec. 817 (Comm'r 1988).

Counsel asserts that the beneficiary completed his three-year Bachelor of Science degree in computing and information systems at the [REDACTED], headquartered in the [REDACTED], but located in Pakistan. Similar to [REDACTED], counsel asserts that the AAO should consider that the beneficiary received a degree from the [REDACTED] instead of from Pakistan. Even if the job requirements on the alien employment certification were sufficient, the AAO would not find that the beneficiary completed his bachelor's degree in the [REDACTED] when he instead completed his three-year bachelor's degree in Pakistan. The AAO finds that the director appropriately concluded that the beneficiary did not possess the requisite four years of bachelor's level education for the advanced degree professional position of senior software engineer.

Because the beneficiary has neither (1) a U.S. advanced degree or foreign equivalent degree, nor (2) a U.S. baccalaureate degree or foreign equivalent degree and five years of progressive experience in the specialty, he does not qualify for preference visa classification as an advanced degree professional under section 203(b)(2) of the Act.

The petition will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.